



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/649,510	08/25/2000	Mary Michelle Quinton	777.394US1	8272
23460	7590 02/11/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900			PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN	
	ENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 45 STETSON AVENUE	700	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, I	L 60601-6780		2111	16
			DATE MAILED: 02/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_		Pel			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/649,510	QUINTON, MARY	MICHELLE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Raymond Phan	2111	10.000			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this or NBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	November 2003 .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ T	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in	Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 9	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTO)				

Art Unit: 2111

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on November 29, 2003.
- 2. This application has been examined. Claims 1-50 are pending.
- 3. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2111.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2111

6. Claims 1, 14, 32-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Mathis (US No 6,269,254).

In regard to claims 1, 14, 32, 35-39, Mathis discloses the method of plugging in a pluggable terminal comprising wrapping the control method for a virtual (i.e. phone (see col. 13, lines 66-67)) or non-virtual media (i.e. media file (col. 12, lines 62-67)) processing device control method to create a pluggable terminal (see col. 13, line 30 through col. 14, line 41) and making the pluggable terminal available to a TAPI application component (see col. 5, line 20 through col. 6, line 35).

In regard to claim 33, Mathis discloses the TSP component (i.e. JTAPI) for call control and for controlling communicating devices (see col. 12, lines 1-29); and MSP component (see col. 12, lines 62-67).

In regard to claim 34, Mathis discloses providing TAPI application component with a list of available terminals and for implementing terminals (see col. 13, line 20 through col. 14, line 41).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 2-13, 15-31, 40-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathis in view of Porter (US No. 5,473,680).

In regard to claims 2, 13, 15-17, 30-32, Mathis discloses the claimed subject matter as discussed above except the teaching of creating the terminal object from the pluggable terminal upon initialization of the TAPI system; registering the

Art Unit: 2111

pluggable terminal; discovering all available terminals, including the pluggable terminal; and sending a list of available terminals, including the pluggable terminal to the TAPI application component. However Porter discloses the creating the terminal object from the pluggable terminal upon initialization of the TAPI system (see col. 2, lines 17-24); registering the pluggable terminal (see col. 9, lines 19-53); discovering all available terminals, including the pluggable terminal (see col. 9, lines 19-53); and sending a list of available terminals, including the pluggable terminal to the TAPI application component (see col. 29, line 16 through col. 30, line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 3, 40, 50, Porter discloses the step of deriving the pluggable terminal from the terminal base class (see col. 5, line 53 through col. 6, line 14); providing a first interface for plugging into the TAPI system (see col. 7, lines 23-42); and providing a second interface including at least one media processing method for the TAPI application component (see col. 7, line 62 through col. 8, line 33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 4, 21, 41, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of audio, video, text, and graphics (see col. 7, lies 44-60). Therefore, it would have

Art Unit: 2111

been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 5, 22, 42, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of modem transmission, facsimile transmission and telephony transmission (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 6, 23, 43, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of videoconferencing, application sharing, document sharing, collaborative computing transmissions (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 7, 24, 44, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of chat transmission, visual chat transmissions, IP Telephony transmissions, and IM transmissions (see col. 8, lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have

Art Unit: 2111

combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 8, 25, 45, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of PSTN call, tone transmissions, speech transmissions, IP interactive voice response system transmissions, IP unified message system transmissions, and caller ID transmissions (see col. 8, lines 34-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 9, 26, 46, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of music, movies, still pictures, and photographs (see col. 7, lines 44-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 10, 27, 47, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of television transmissions, radio transmissions, cable transmission (see col. 8, lines 13-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter

Art Unit: 2111

within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 11, 28, 48, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of portable device communications, PDA, tablet transmissions (see col. 8, lines 28-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claims 12, 29, 49, Porter discloses the at least one media processing method for processing media selected from the group consisting of digital phone calls and cellular phone calls (see col. 8, lines 33-40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claim 18, Mathis discloses the method of plugging in a pluggable terminal comprising wrapping the control method for a virtual (i.e. phone (see col. 13, lines 66-67)) or non-virtual media (i.e. media file (col. 12, lines 62-67)) processing device control method to create a pluggable terminal (see col. 13, line 30 through col. 14, line 41) and making the pluggable terminal available to a TAPI application component (see col. 5, line 20 through col. 6, line 35). But Mathis does not specifically disclose the unique ID for pluggable terminal; a set of media flow direction supported by the pluggable terminal and set of media types supported by the pluggable terminal. However Porter discloses the unique ID for

Application/Control Number: 09/649,510 Page 8

Art Unit: 2111

pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 6-19); a set of media flow direction supported by the pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 12-26) and set of media types supported by the pluggable terminal (see col. 8, lines 20-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

In regard to claim 31, Porter discloses the method for triggering the events to the terminal manager component (see col. 15, lines 49-63). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Porter within the system of Mathis because it would allow efficient communications and management of resources used for multimedia, multiparty communications.

Response to Amendment

9. Applicant's amendment and arguments, see pages 3-13, filed November 29, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-50 under 35 USC § 102(e)/103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mathis.

Conclusion

- 10. All claims are rejected.
- 11. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2111

Swartz (US No. 6,445,694) discloses an internet controlled telephone system.

Fishler et al. (US No. 5,852,719) disclose a system for transferring data over a network in which a data source sends only a descriptor which a data sink uses to retrieve data.

Hattish (US No. 6,687,342) discloses a system and method for retaining client configuration information across the re-installation of any TAPI service provider in a TAPI 2.1 environment.

Langlois et al. (US No. 6,018,571) disclose a system for interactive control of a computer and telephone.

Clayton et al. (US No. 6,681,001) disclose a computer integrated telecommunications system and methods.

Fritzinger et al. (US No. 6,208,724) disclose a virtual telephone.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary, Paul Myers can be reached on (703) 305-9656 or via e-mail addressed to paul.myers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7239.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Raymond Phan 2/7/04